

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,025	02/04/2004	Brad A. Armstrong	F2811	6101
25962 SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P. 17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			BODDIE, WILLIAM	
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/773.025 ARMSTRONG, BRAD A. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit WILLIAM L. BODDIE 2629 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) WILLIAM L. BODDIE. (3)BRAD ARMSTRONG. (2) SUMATI LEFKOWITZ. (4)MICHAEL FOGARTY. Date of Interview: 25 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 9 and 12. Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki (US 5.491,497). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Discussed claim amendments to "secondary input member" phrasing and corresponding relationship to the "two additional sensors." Amendments will be presented to examiner in a supplemental amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William I Boddie/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629